

South Carolina Board of Pharmacy

MINUTES

Board Meeting
8:30 a.m., June 21-22, 2006
Hilton Head Marriott
Hilton Head, South Carolina

Wednesday, June 21, 2006

Marvin Hyatt, R.Ph., vice chairman, of Rock Hill; called the regular meeting to order at 8:37 a.m. Other board members participating in the meeting included: David Banks, R.Ph., of Simpsonville; Bobby Bradham, R.Ph., of Charleston; Davis Hook, R.Ph., of West Columbia; Leo Richardson, Ph.D., of Columbia; Dock Henry Rose, R.Ph., of Greer; and Allen Toole, R.Ph., of Liberty.

Terry Lewis, R.Ph., chairman, of Darlington, was granted an excused absence.

Staff members during the meeting: included: Lee Ann Bundrick, R.Ph., Administrator; Marilyn Crouch, Program Assistant; Eddie Durant, R.Ph., Temporary Pharmacist Investigator; Linda Moore, R.Ph., Temporary Inspector/Investigator; Tom Porter, R.Ph., Pharmacist Inspector; Clelia Sanders, R.Ph., Pharmacist Inspector; and Sheila Young, R.Ph., Compliance Manager. LLR employees participating during the meeting included: Randy Bryant, Assistant Deputy Director; Sandra Dickert, Administrative Assistant; and Pat Hanks, Attorney, LLR-Office of General Counsel.

Members of the public attending the meeting included: Buddy Baker, Jessica Puckett-Beasley, Paulette Bentley, Christy Brueckner, Nitra Brown, Dan Bushardt, Robert Chapman, Susan DelMonico, Edwin Eleazer, Debbie Francis, Debra Gaskins, Steve Green, Thomas Phillips, Steve Ranck, Eric Ridings, Christine Sawicks, Michael Smith, Buck Stevens, Othaniel T. Smith, III, Maria Spencer, Deborah Tapley, Janet Teeters, and William Xochilin.

Mr. Hyatt turned the meeting over to Mr. Bradham at this time.

Meeting Called to Order

Mr. Bradham announced that this meeting was being held in accordance with Section 30-4-80 of the S. C. Freedom of Information Act by notice mailed to The State Newspaper, Associated Press, WIS-TV and all other requesting persons, organizations, or news media. In addition, notice was posted on the bulletin boards at the two main entrances of the Kingstree Building.

Pledge of Allegiance

All present recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Invocation

Mr. Hook delivered the invocation.

Introduction of Board Members and all other persons attending

The Board members, staff and members of the public attending the meeting introduced themselves.

Mr. Bradham stated Mr. Dan Bushardt has been elected to the Board as the District VI representative; however, is awaiting Senate Confirmation and appointment by the Governor.

He asked Mr. Bushardt to join the Board at its table and noted that Mr. Bushardt would not participate in the voting process.

Chairman's Remarks – Terry Lewis, R.Ph.

No remarks were made during this meeting. Mr. Bradham noted that Chairman Lewis was excused do to an illness.

1. Approval of Minutes for the March 15, 2006 Meeting

Mr. Bradham noted one correction to page five under, item B. He noted the phrase should read 'a felony conviction of dispensing controlled drugs without prescription(s).'

MOTION

Mr. Hyatt moved the minutes be approved as amended. Mr. Banks seconded the motion, carried unanimously.

2. Approval of Reciprocity Candidates for Licensure:

Dates: February 22, 2006; February 28, 2006; March 6, 2006; March 7, 2006; March 9, 2006; March 15, 2006; March 16, 2006; March 23, 2006; March 28, 2006; April 3, 2006; April 13, 2006; April 14, 2006; April 17, 2006; April 22, 2006; May 10, 2006; May 17, 2006; May 18, 2006; May 19, 2006; May 22, 2006; and May 25, 2006

MOTION

Mr. Banks made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hook and unanimously carried, that the reciprocity candidates from February 22, 2006, February 28, 2006, March 6, 2006, March 7, 2006, March 9, 2006, March 15, 2006, March 16, 2006, March 23, 2006, March 28, 2006, April 3, 2006, April 13, 2006, April 14, 2006, April 17, 2006, April 22, 2006, May 10, 2006, May 17, 2006, May 18, 2006, May 19, 2006, May 22, 2006, and May 25, 2006 be approved for licensure.

3. Administrator's Report, Lee Ann F. Bundrick, R.Ph.

Ms. Bundrick briefed the Board on staff's activities since the March 15, 2006 meeting.

Mr. Hook stated during the reciprocity interviews he asks the candidates about the difficulties of going through the reciprocity process. He further stated the candidates are very complimentary of staff, particularly Ms. Green. He noted it has not always been that way. He went on to say he appreciates the work Ms. Green has done and that Ms. Harder seems efficient in handling the pharmacy technicians.

4. Compliance and Inspectors Report, Sheila Young, R.Ph.

IRC Recommendations for dismissals and dismissals with non-disciplinary letters of concern. Review of proposed Consent Orders, Temporary Suspension, Stipulation and Petitions and Voluntary Surrenders from the Investigative Review Committee, (IRC)

Ms. Young briefed the Board on the compliance staff's activities since the March 15, 2006 meeting. During her report she thanked Mr. Bryant and Mr. Larry Atkins, of the LLR-Office of Investigations and Enforcement, for their assistance in getting a handle on the cases.

Ms. Young stated the IRC has drafted the following policies regarding citation hearings for the Board's review and approval:

Only the individual for whom the citation was issued may appear before the hearing panel unless otherwise approved by the Administrator.

Terms of payment of civil penalties will be established by the Hearing Officer at the time of the hearing.

The Hearing Officer will publish and document on the record acknowledgement by the respondent the timeframe for filing of a formal appeal (30 days).

Recess

At 9:35 a.m., the Board took a brief recess and returned to public session at 9:50 a.m.

Return to Public Session

Approval of IRC Minutes of May 24, 2006 and June 14, 2006

MOTION

Mr. Hyatt moved to accept the IRC minutes of May 24, 2006 and June 14, 2006. Mr. Banks seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

- Report from June 14th 2006 citation hearings

MOTION

Mr. Hyatt moved to accept the decisions from the June 14, 2006 panel hearing regarding the citations. Mr. Rose seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

- Consent Orders

Case #: 2005-100

Case #: 2005-49

Case #: 2005-58

Case#: 2005-73

Case #: 2004-45, 2005-12

Case #: 2005-81

Case #: 2005-77

Case #: 2005-93

Case #: 2005-95

Case#: 2005-91

Case#: 2005-41

Case #: 2006-1

Case #: 2005-52

Case #: 2006-18

Case #: 2006-19

Case #: 2006-20

Case #: 2005-150

Case #: 2005-144

Case #: 2006-9

Case #: 2005-118

Case #: 2005-60

Case #: 2005-97

Case #: 2005-86

Case #: 2005-96

- Agreement to Relinquish

Case #: 2006-14

Case #: 2005-65

Case #: 2005-62

Case #: 2005-119

Mr. Hanks briefed the Board on the above cases.

MOTION

Mr. Hook made a motion, seconded by Mr. Banks and carried unanimously, to accept the IRCs recommendation regarding the above cases with the exception of 2006-1.

Mr. Banks recused himself from voting on case 2006-1.

MOTION

Mr. Hook moved to accept the IRCs recommendation regarding case #2006-1. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

- Temporary Suspension
(Documents Excluded)
Case #: 2005-120 Case #: 2005-119
Case #: 2005-65 Case #: 2006-14
Case #: 2005-62 Case #: 2006-43
- Voluntary Surrenders
(Documents Excluded)
Case #: 2005-62 Case #: 2006-42

Mr. Hanks stated the above cases are listed for the Board's information. He noted the Board would see these cases in a different light at a later meeting.

Recess

The Board took a short recess at 10:30 a.m. and returned to public session at 11:00 a.m.

Return to Public Session

A. Request to be Release from Order – Edwin Eleazer

In April 2006 the Board received a letter from Edwin Eleazer requesting the Board's approval to be released from the Board's Order signed by the Chairman on March 21, 2001 and that his license be removed from probation and placed in good standing. Mr. Eleazer had surrendered his license on March 9, 2000 and entered a contract with RPP. After meeting the requirements of RPP and the Pre-Trial Intervention Program of the Second Judicial Circuit, Solicitor's Office, in Aiken County, he requested reinstatement of license with the Board in December 2000. Mr. Eleazer received letters of recommendation from Stephen J. Enns, of the Child, Adolescent, Adult and Family Psychiatry, Aiken; Paulette Bentley of RPP; Sue Von Moor, of Maguire & Moore, Augusta, Georgia; and Todd Davis, of CVS Pharmacy District Office, Augusta, Georgia.

Edwin Eleazer and Paulette Bentley, of RPP, appeared before the Board at this time and were sworn to give correct testimony by Ms. Dickert.

Ms. Bentley testified on behalf of Mr. Eleazer. She stated Mr. Eleazer has been in total compliance with his RPP contract and that RPP is in support of Mr. Eleazer's release from probation.

MOTION

Mr. Hook moved the Board release Mr. Eleazer from the Board's order requiring probation. Mr. Banks seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

B. Request to be Release from Order – Elliott Baker, Jr.

On April 19, 2006 the Board received a letter from Elliott Baker, Jr. seeking the Board's approval to be released from the Board's Order dated May 28, 2002. Mr. Baker surrendered his license on May 31, 2001 and was reinstated per the Board Order dated May 28, 2002. Mr. Baker received letters of recommendation from Paulette Bentley of RPP, George E. Strait, Jr. of Newberry, and Jesse J. (Skip) Ghatt, Jr., of Springs Memorial Hospital, Lancaster.

Elliott Baker, Jr. and Paulette Bentley, of RPP, appeared before the Board at this time and were sworn to give correct testimony by Ms. Dickert. He apologized for his previous actions.

Ms. Bentley stated Mr. Baker has been in compliance with RPP and that she supports Mr. Baker's request.

MOTION

Mr. Hyatt moved the Board release Mr. Baker from the Board's order requiring probation. Mr. Banks seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

C. Request to be Released from Order – Mike Smith

In a letter dated May 23, 2006 Mike Smith is requesting the Board's approval to be released from the Board's Order, dated March 29, 2001, and that he be released from probation. Mr. Smith received letters of recommendation from Paulette Bentley, of RPP, Barry Katell, R.Ph., Arden, North Carolina, and Robert G. Hartness, of Hartness International, of Greenville, South Carolina.

Mike Smith, R.Ph., and Paulette Bentley of RPP, appeared before the Board at this time and were sworn to give correct testimony by Ms. Dickert.

Mr. Smith apologized for his previous actions.

Ms. Bentley stated Mr. Smith has been in compliance with RPP and that she supports his release from the order.

MOTION

Mr. Banks made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hyatt and unanimously carried, that the Board release Mr. Smith from the Board's Order.

5. Old Business

D. Sales Tax on OTC Prescriptions – Terry Lewis, R.Ph.

Ms. Bundrick presented a letter Mr. Lewis drafted to send to Mr. Tim Donovan, Senior Tax Analyst with the South Carolina Department of Revenue, in regard to the sales tax on OTC prescriptions. She noted she needed the Board's approval for this letter to be mailed to Mr. Donovan.

MOTION

Mr. Banks moved the Board approve the drafted letter for mailing. Mr. Rose seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

E. Update of Federally Qualified Health Clinics-Proviso 8.46

Mr. Bradham stated he met in both January and February with the federally qualified health clinics in an attempt to get the clinics under the umbrella of the practice act and to also meet some of the financial challenges they face. He further stated some of the individuals attended the Board meeting in March where the Board approved a telepharmacy program with one of the federally qualified health clinics. He indicated the clinics were going to look at that program to evaluate the cost options. But he noted that unknown to them during the last few days of the legislative session a proviso was attached to the budget bill that would totally exempt these clinics from the pharmacy practice act. He attended a meeting with the legislators over the weekend but they were not successful in removing the proviso. The Governor vetoed the entire budget bill, however, the legislature overrode all of the Governor's vetoes. Now, according to the proviso these clinics can operate without a pharmacist and can dispense medications. He

noted the proviso enables the clinics to operate for one year, however they must still abide by the medical practice act. He feels the biggest losers would be the patients.

Mr. Hook stated some the federally qualified health clinics fill prescriptions for Medicaid which would throw those clinics under the Board's jurisdiction. Mr. Bradham stated to dispense and be funded for Medicaid prescriptions or any other third party prescriptions, a facility must have a NABP number and that requires a Board of Pharmacy permit. He is unsure that the clinics are clear that they can bill Medicaid or other third party providers. He indicated the clinics would have to overcome that challenge.

Mr. Hook stated he believes it would behoove the Board if the clinics were informed that they are restricted in the endeavor of billing Medicaid and other third party prescriptions for reimbursements.

❖ Donations of Prescription Drugs

Ms. Bundrick stated during the previous meeting the Board had considered drafting a policy in regard to the donation of prescription drugs and had asked the staff to gather information on this matter. She provided the Board members with a document entitled Guidance for Industry Prescription Drug Marketing Act – Donation of Prescription Drug Samples to Free Clinics, which was published by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Food and Drug Administration and the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research as well as a copy of Frequently Asked Questions for Pharmacists on Donating Prescriptions from the North Carolina Board of Pharmacy.

Ms. Young stated Mr. John O'Brien and Ms. Mary Ludlow had discussed using the bingo cards that could be returned to the pharmacy for credit. She went on to say that the Medicare Part D system will not allow an entity to reverse a claim and re-bill after sixty days. She noted the medications were never at the patient's bedside.

Mr. Bradham asked Mr. Hyatt to work with staff in regard to making a recommendation for the September 2006 meeting.

6. New Business

F. Greenville Hospital System Pilot Project Follow up – Steve Ranck, R.Ph.

During the November 16, 2005 Board meeting Mr. Steve Ranck appeared before the Board seeking approval for a pilot project for an employee prescription program. At that time the Board approved the pilot project with the stipulation that Mr. Ranck appear before the Board in six months to report on the project.

Steve Ranck appeared before the Board at this time.

Mr. Ranck stated the project, which has been in operation for approximately six months, is an employee prescription delivery process. He further stated the project has been successful, and although there were barriers to work through they have been resolved. He further stated there are approximately seventy-five to one hundred patients in the program. He continued by saying a prescription is filled at one of the hospital's retail pharmacies and, once the prescriptions are filled, are couriered to a pharmacy in the satellite facilities. The prescriptions are filed alphabetically and the employee visits the pharmacy during operating hours to pick up the prescription. He noted the pharmacist filling the prescription counsels the patient on all new prescriptions. He noted that the employees are given a form with their phone extension and the

pharmacist phones the employee to counsel on the medication. He indicated it is a cost saving measure for their employees. He has conducted site interviews on the project to ensure the project is running smoothly. He said there are four satellite facilities within the Greenville Hospital System and courier service is provided to the North Greenville facility, the Allen Bennett facility and the Hillcrest Hospital. He stated the employees can choose which facility they wish to use and keep up with their own medications.

MOTION

Mr. Rose recused himself from voting in this matter.

Mr. Hook made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hyatt and unanimously carried, that the Board extend the pilot project for one year until central fill legislation passes and the system is to report to the Board within six months or when the Board or staff feels it is appropriate to report.

G. Request to be Pharmacist-in-charge in two places, Irmo Family Pharmacy and Palmetto Long Term Care Pharmacy – Robert Chapman, Jr., R.Ph.

In a letter dated and mailed on March 2, 2006 Robert W. Chapman, Jr., R.Ph, states he is requesting to be named PIC for both Irmo Family Pharmacy and Palmetto Long Term Care Pharmacy. He notes in his letter that Palmetto Long Term Care Pharmacy is a closed-door pharmacy. Both pharmacies would be in the same location and he would be able to supervise both locations. A copy of the latest inspection report of Palmetto Long Term Care Pharmacy was included with the request.

Robert Chapman appeared before the Board at this time. He stated both of the facilities are located in the same physical location. He noted both facilities hold separate permits to take advantage of favorable pricing for the long term care facility. He indicated separate inventories are kept for each pharmacy. He further stated the facilities employ three competent pharmacy technicians. He noted both of the facilities are high volume. He indicated although general compounding is done, no sterile compounding is done in either facility. He continued by saying there are couple of other pharmacists employed that do chart reviews and work part time in the long term care pharmacy.

Mr. Bradham noted that the Board must first approve any PIC change should the Board grant this request.

MOTION

Mr. Hook moved the Board grant Mr. Chapman the privilege of serving as PIC in this locations as long as both sites are located in the same physical address, that there be no PIC change and with the stipulation that the volume of prescriptions not exceed the PIC's ability to supervise and inspect each prescription filled as to its accuracy during the entire process. If a PIC change occurs they must come before the Board. Mr. Rose seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

H. Approval on Proceeding with Central Fill – Buck Stevens, R.Ph.

Buck Stevens appeared before the Board at this time.

Mr. Stevens stated he works with PBX, the largest software manufacturer and distributor, which is located in Fort Worth, Texas. He further stated over 11,000 pharmacies use their software and have several allied companies. He noted after several complaints from customers his company began developing a methodology through central fill technology to address the

complaints. He continued by briefing the Board on the process and gave the following example: if there is a prescription in a local pharmacy the information is initially entered in the local pharmacy's computer. The prescription would belong to the local pharmacy and the control and payment resides with the local pharmacy. The pharmacist would press a button should the pharmacy determine it would like to send the prescription to the central fill location. The central fill location, which has a common database, would complete the label with the local pharmacy's name and logo, address and phone number and, in small print, would include filled at Rx.com. He noted there next day service is provided if the prescription is received no later than 3:00 p.m. He presented the members with a copy of the process. He stated his company is currently working with the major manufacturers to conduct medication therapy management. He indicated the central fill program would offer the choice of delivering the prescription to the patient or to the pharmacy. He noted it would be the delivery choice pharmacy's decision. He said specialty pharmacy is another area that involves a great deal of money.

Mr. Bradham stated the Board currently has a central fill bill and asked the differences between the bill and Mr. Steven's proposal. Mr. Stevens stated the bill looks very good, however, it mandates the prescription be returned to the pharmacy. He further stated the Board may instead want to limit ownership in the decision making capacity to the community pharmacy.

Mr. Bradham stated the Board would accept Mr. Stevens' presentation as information. He recommended the document be referred to the Central Fill Committee for comparison to the current proposed bill and that the committee report to the Board if any changes are recommended and list those changes if necessary. Ms. Bundrick asked that Mr. Stephens submit his proposed language to her.

I. Request to Waive Intern Hours – Michael Eslick, II, PharmD

On February 13, 2006 the Board received a letter from Captain Michael Eslick, II, PharmD, seeking a waiver in regard to reducing the number of internship hours required for license renewal. The letter states Mr. Eslick's license was last active in May 2002. He now wishes to return the practice of pharmacy, however, due to his current military obligations he would not be reasonable able to accrue 1,000 hours in a timely manner.

Mr. Eslick did not appear at this meeting. Mr. Bradham stated the Board would continue to carry Mr. Eslick's request forward until such time that he appears before the Board.

J. Request Approval of Pharmacy Technician Registration Application – Aston Trice

On March 27, 2006 the Board received a Pharmacy Technician Registration Application from Aston Trice. Ms. Trice answered yes to the question that asks, "During the past five (5) years, have you been convicted of any criminal or civil charges (other than a minor traffic ticket)? Is there any legal action pending against you or are you currently on probation for any charges or legal action?" In August 2003 she had an insufficient check charge of \$6.00 that resulted in a \$65.00 fine.

Aston Trice appeared before the Board at this time. She stated had written a check for \$6.00 to a store for food, which bounced. She did not received notification of the returned check until she received a document from Check Care. She then received a warrant after which she paid the insufficient fund check and fees and then had to pay a court fine.

Mr. Bradham informed Ms. Trice that the Board would take her testimony as information at this time and would render a decision later in the meeting.

K. Request Approval of Certified Pharmacy Technician Application – Nitra Brown

On March 20, 2006 the Board staff received a letter from Nitra Brown in regard to becoming state certified. In her letter Ms. Brown states that she has been employed at Wal-Mart for eight years. She became national certified in March 2004.

Nitra Brown appeared before the Board at this time. She stated she missed the deadline for grandfathering into state certification because she did not know of the deadline. She went on to say she learned of the deadline on March 16, 2006 when she spoke with another pharmacy technician. She works with nine other pharmacy technicians and has been employed with Wal-Mart Pharmacy for eight years. She became nationally certified on March 31, 2004. She indicated she is the only nationally certified technician at this location of Wal-Mart.

Mr. Bradham stated information regarding state certification was included in the technician renewals for two years and was included in the Board's newsletters, information was sent to the technicians.

Mr. Bradham informed Ms. Brown that the Board would take her testimony as information at this time and would render a decision later in the meeting.

L. Federal District Court Ruling on Compounding

Ms. Young stated she received this information from an FDA agent who has assisted the Board inspectors on compounding issues. She further stated that according to this ruling FDA agents cannot enter compounding pharmacies and conduct an inspection. She said the agents were informed that each state Board of Pharmacy only would have this authority and that the only time they are able to enter a compounding pharmacy is if a state inspector wanted in-services on what the FDA inspectors look for, a state inspector seeking guidance, or if an inspector finds evidence of manufacturing and asks the FDA to assist in the investigation. She noted new drug products would require FDA approval.

❖ Request to be Pharmacist-in-charge in two places, Harvest Free Medical Clinic and Detyens Medical Center – Debra Gaskins, R.Ph.

Debra Gaskins is seeking the Board's approval to become PIC at two locations, Harvest Free Medical Clinic and Detyens Medical Center.

Debra Gaskins appeared before the Board at this time. She stated she is seeking the Board's approval to become PIC of two medical clinic pharmacies. She further stated both pharmacies are in the same location, which is divided in half and have separate drug storage areas. She indicated the Detyens Shipyards employer is attempting to provide a primary care setting for the employees. She noted she is the individual at both clinics five days a week. She noted the building is being provided rent free to the Harvest Free Medical Clinic. She went on to say a very limited formulary is kept on site. She noted a primary goal on both sides is to work with protocols and to work with less and build up inventory if necessary. She also said she would be meeting with both groups once a month in regard to the drug formulary to determine if a medication would be added or removed. She noted the Detyens employees are not required to use that pharmacy and there would be a volunteer pharmacist in the Harvest Free Medical Clinic.

Ms. Sanders stated she conducted an inspection on Harvest Free Medical Clinic on May 4, 2006 and conducted an initial inspection on the Detyens Medical Center following receipt of the

Pharmacy Permit application on May 1, 2006. A final inspection will be conducted the week of June 26, 2006. She indicated the Harvest Free Medical Clinic has hired a full-time pharmacist to work 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. She noted there are two separate computers on a shared counter and there are two separate rooms, which holds inventory for each facility.

Ms. Gaskins stated she would be an employee of Detyens Medical Center on a full-time basis. She further stated a volunteer pharmacist would fill in on days she is out ill.

Mr. Bradham informed Ms. Gaskins that the Board would take her testimony as information at this time and would render a decision later in the meeting.

Executive Session

MOTION

Mr. Banks moved the Board enter executive session to discuss matters heard earlier in the meeting. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Return to Public Session

MOTION

Dr. Richardson moved the Board return to public session. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Mr. Bradham noted for the record that no motions were offered or made during executive session.

Proposed Board Policies on Citations

MOTION

Mr. Banks made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rose to approve the citations. Mr. Banks stated he believes the first policy in regard to an appearance before the hearing panel should be received in writing by the Administrator within ten days.

The proposed amended policy would read:

Only the individual for whom the citation was issued may appear before the hearing panel. The individual for whom the citation was issued may designate someone to appear on their behalf in writing within ten days of the hearing unless otherwise approved by the Administrator.

Mr. Banks and Mr. Hyatt agreed to the amendment. The motion carried unanimously.

Request Approval of Pharmacy Technician Registration Application –
Ashton Tice

MOTION

Mr. Banks made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hyatt and unanimously carried, that the Board grant Ms. Tice's request for registration.

Request Approval of Certified Pharmacy Technician Application –
Nitra Brown

MOTION

Mr. Rose made a motion the Board deny the request. Mr. Toole seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Request to be Pharmacist-in-charge in two places, Harvest Free Medical Clinic and Detyens Medical Center – Debra Gaskins

MOTION

Mr. Hook moved the Board allow Ms. Gaskins to serve as PIC in two locations providing that there be no change in the PIC without notification to the Board, the facilities must remain in the same location and volume limits must be within safety parameters to prevent medication errors and be aware that would be random inspections conducted to ensure compliance. Mr. Rose seconded, carried unanimously.

LUNCH

The Board recessed for lunch at 1:00 p.m. and returned to public session at 2:30 p.m.

Return to Public Session

7. New Business (Continued)

Hearing Panel Citations

MOTION

Mr. Rose made a motion, seconded by Mr. Banks and unanimously carried that the Board approve Hearing Panel's decision to uphold the fines in regard the following citations:

Citation 014	Citation 015	Citation 016	Citation 026
Citation 027	Citation 028	Citation 019	Citation 020
Citation 021	Citation 022	Citation 023	Citation 024

Hearing Panel Citations with Dismissal

MOTION

Mr. Hyatt moved the Board accept the Hearing Panel decision of dismissal in regard to the following citations:

Citation 003	Citation 004	Citation 005
--------------	--------------	--------------

Mr. Banks seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

M. Election of 2006-2007 Board Officers

MOTION

Mr. Hook moved the Board elect Mr. Hyatt as chairman of the Board. Mr. Toole seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

MOTION

Mr. Hyatt made motion, seconded by Mr. Banks and Dr. Richardson, and unanimously carried, that the Board elect Mr. Rose as vice chairman of the Board.

N. Election of 2006-2007 IRC Chairman

MOTION

Mr. Hook moved the Board elect Mr. "C" Turner as IRC chairman. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

O. Election of 2006-2007 Hearing Panel Officers

MOTION

Mr. Hyatt made a motion, seconded by Mr. Rose and unanimously carried, that the Board elect Mr. Hugh Mobley as the hearing panel officer.

P. Report of NABP 102nd Annual Meeting – Bobby Bradham, R.Ph.

Mr. Bradham briefed the Board on NABP's annual meeting. He noted one issue that had more discussion and caused more controversy was in a speech delivered by Carmen Cartizone. He further stated the issue was multi-state licensure and indicated that this issue is not going away. He suggested the Board members become informed on this issue and be open minded when the issue does confront the Board.

Ms. Young stated the compliance staff has faced this issue with nurses. She further stated the Board of Nursing has entered into a compact with six other states.

R. Delegate for District III NABP/AACP Meeting August 6-8, 2006 in Charleston, SC

MOTION

Mr. Hook moved the Board elect Mr. Hyatt as the Board delegate and Mr. Bradham as the alternate. Mr. Rose seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

S. Approval of Accredited Schools and Colleges of Pharmacy, Certified by the American Council on Pharmaceutical Education

Mr. Bradham stated the South Carolina School of Pharmacy, the entity formed to represent the two Colleges of Pharmacy, recently went through an accreditation visit. He further stated he as well as Mr. Hook spent time with the team. He indicated the report from the visit was mailed last week. He noted Dr. DiPiro, Dean of the South Carolina College of Pharmacy, along with the two campus deans, would have to meet with AACP next week to discuss issues that were discovered during the accreditation visit. He went on to say the MUSC College of Pharmacy and the USC College of Pharmacy were hoping AACP would accept the two accreditations, however, AACP could not accept these accreditations because a new curriculum and a combined curriculum are being developed. He said the South Carolina College of Pharmacy was granted conditional accreditation because the curriculum has not been finalized with the exception of the first year. He noted the 80 students accepted at the MUSC campus and the 110 accepted at the Columbia campus had to sign documents indicating they are aware that the College of Pharmacy to which they were accepted has not received final accreditation and that they understand the risks they take by attending a college of pharmacy that does not have full accreditation.

Mr. Hook stated the accreditation will be a two-step process. He further stated the first step is a pre-candidate accreditation and the college has a full year to become full candidate accreditation. He noted that if a student completes school prior to the school receiving full accreditation would not be eligible to take the NAPLEX exam.

MOTION

Mr. Hook moved the Board approve the USC and MUSC current curriculum as accreditation. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

T. Optional Date for Hearings – Lee Ann F. Bundrick, R.Ph.

Ms. Bundrick stated the Board would need to review dates to hear cases. She noted there are approximately six cases to be heard.

The Board discussed optional dates for hearings. Mr. Bradham asked the members to review their calendars for the following dates: August 14 – 18, 24, 25, 30, 31 and September 1. He indicated the Board would carry this matter over to the June 22, 2006 meeting.

U. Board Member Website – Lee Ann F. Bundrick, R.Ph.

Ms. Bundrick presented the members with website information for Board members. She noted a step by step visual would be done at the September meeting.

V. Institutional Pharmacies Clarification Regarding State Certified Technicians, 40-43-86 (B) (4) (b) and (c)

Ms. Bundrick stated staff has received several calls asking how the new 3:1 ratio would be applied in institutional pharmacies. She went on to say the new language in the practice does not indicate how the Board would enforce the ratio in an institutional setting.

Mr. Rose stated he assumed it would be the same as in the retail settings. Ms. Young stated the 3:1 ratio in an institutional setting has been in effect when the 2:1 ratio was placed in effect in a retail setting. Mr. Bradham suggested the Board refer this matter to the Pharmacy Technician Committee and that the committee bring a recommendation to the Board during the September 2006 meeting..

Ms. Debbie Tapley stated the 3:1 ratio for health systems was put in place in the 1990s. She went on to say health system pharmacists have a twenty-four hour distribution that the retail pharmacies do not have and that the health system pharmacists do not have a front end like retail pharmacies. She noted there may be an issue with the SC Hospital Association.

Mr. Bradham noted the ratio may be different in a health system during the different shifts in a twenty-four hour period.

W. Doctor's Dispensing – Davis Hook, R.Ph.

Mr. Hook stated he has received calls concerning prepackaged medications new businesses are taking into physicians' office. He noted the Pyxis is loaded with the medications, lot numbers, expiration dates, and directions. He further stated the physicians are being told that they are allowed to dispense medications in an office setting without any instructions of being compliant with record keeping. He noted one instance involved controlled substances. He thinks the Board should become involved. He indicated the physicians are being told the business is operating a very small formulary of generic drugs, that the physicians may purchase the sheet of generic drugs for \$2.00 and sell the sheet for \$10.00. He thinks the Board may wish to contact the Medical Board and have them inspect the physician's office for proper record keeping.

Ms. Bundrick stated the statute does not give the pharmacy inspectors jurisdiction to enter a medical office if a corporation or entity is fully owned by a licensed practitioner or a licensed practitioner authorized to prescribe. She further stated the Board has no jurisdiction to enter a medical office unless the Medical Board asks the Board of Pharmacy to assist in a case.

Mr. Hook stated the topic would need to be handled carefully so the Medical Board would not believe it is a turf issue.

Mr. Porter stated he heard the sales pitch which indicates it take less than three minutes to dispense, the physician makes \$15 and there is a peel off label to place in the patient's chart.

Mr. Bryant suggested the Board staff facilitate a meeting with the Chairman of the Medical Board, Dr. Satish Prabu, to discuss this matter.

X. Repackagers – Clelia Sanders, R.Ph.

Ms. Sanders presented the Board with information regarding repackagers. She noted repackagers must be registered with the FDA and have labels and inserts approved prior to being permitted by the Board of Pharmacy. She further stated a warehouse/distributor inspection sheet does not list specific logs, final checks, sinks, verification of containers, and quality control. She continued by saying the FDA does not inspect a facility prior to opening, but waits for approximately one year or unless they receive a complaint. She continued by saying the FDA requires manufacturers and re-packagers to follow the CGMP (Current Good Manufacturing Practice). She indicated the Board may want to review these in a committee to determine if more stringent guidelines need to be set for review prior to permitting. She said the inspector would need to inquire to whom the re-packaged medications will be distributed to offer direction into tracking of invoices, distribution and to ensure that no dispensing regulations are involved. She further stated there needs to be a consultant pharmacist that would conduct monthly inspections with specific attention to quality control. She also noted that the re-packagers would also be wholesale distributors, therefore the VAWD process may need to be incorporated.

Y. Representative for MALTA-GON Conference – September 10-13, 2006, Robinsonville, MS

Ms. Bundrick stated Mr. Bradham attended the conference in September 2005 at which time MALTA-GON asked South Carolina and Florida join the conference.

Mr. Bradham stated he informed MALTA-GON the Board would like to join the organization. He further stated the fee would be \$200.00. He noted the organization consists of state boards of pharmacy from the ten states whereas the District III meeting is not only of the Boards of Pharmacy but the deans and staff of the colleges of pharmacy in those states.

Mr. Bradham stated the discussions held in the MALTA-GON meeting concentrated solely on the boards of pharmacy commonalties and issues.

MOTION

Mr. Banks made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hook and unanimously carried, that the Board join MALTA-GON.

The Board determined it would select a delegate during the meeting on June 22, 2006.

8. Discussion Topics

Z. Pharmacist-in-charge Citations – David Banks, R.Ph.

Mr. Banks stated he has a problem with holding a PIC accountable for certain violations if the PIC is on vacation or off duty when a citation is issued. He asked the Board how the PIC could be held accountable for what happens when a relief pharmacist is in charge.

During a brief discussion, it was noted the IRC process handles those types of cases.

Public Comments

There were no public comments made during the June 21, 2006 meeting.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 3:45 p.m.

South Carolina Board of Pharmacy

Board Meeting

8:30 a.m., June 22, 2006

Hilton Head Marriott Beach and Golf Resort

Hilton Head, South Carolina

Thursday, June 22, 2006

Bobby Bradham, R.Ph., of Charleston, called the regular meeting to order at 9:02 a.m. Other board members participating in the meeting included: Marvin Hyatt, R.Ph., vice chairman, of Rock Hill; David Banks, R.Ph., of Simpsonville; Davis Hook, R.Ph., of West Columbia; Leo Richardson, Ph.D., of Columbia; Dock Henry Rose, R.Ph., of Greer; and Allen Toole, R.Ph., of Liberty.

Terry Lewis, chairman, of Darlington, was granted an excused absence.

Staff members during the meeting: included: Lee Ann Bundrick, R.Ph., Administrator; Marilyn Crouch, Program Assistant; Eddie Durant, R.Ph., Temporary Pharmacist Investigator; Tom Porter, R.Ph., Pharmacist Inspector; Clelia Sanders, R.Ph., Pharmacist Inspector; and Sheila Young, R.Ph., Compliance Manager. LLR employees participating during the meeting included: Randy Bryant, Assistant Deputy Director; and Sandra Dickert, Administrative Assistant.

Members of the public attending the meeting included: Jessica Puckett-Beasley, Jim Bracewell, Christy Brueckner, Dan Bushardt, Susan DelMonico, Debbie Francis, Steve Greene, Thomas Phillips, Eric Ridings, Maria Spencer, Frank Simpson, Christy Sawick, Robert Spires, Debbie Tapley, Janet Teeters, and Dennis Yoney.

Meeting Called to Order

Mr. Bradham announced that this meeting was being held in accordance with Section 30-4-80 of the S. C. Freedom of Information Act by notice mailed to The State Newspaper, Associated Press, WIS-TV and all other requesting persons, organizations, or news media. In addition, notice was posted on the bulletin boards at the two main entrances of the Kingstree Building.

Chairman's Remarks – Terry Lewis, R.Ph.

No remarks were made during this meeting.

Pledge of Allegiance

All present recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

Invocation

Mr. Bushardt delivered the invocation.

Introduction of Board Members

The Board members, staff and members of the public attending the meeting introduced themselves.

9. Committee Reports

- Immunization Task Force – Marvin Hyatt, R.Ph., and Al Toole, R.Ph.

My Hyatt had no report,

- Pharmacy Technology Committee – Terry Lewis, R.Ph., David Banks, R.Ph.

Mr. Banks had no report.

- Recovering Professional Program – Davis Hook, R.Ph. – Time Requirements in Order to be Compliant with RPP

Mr. Hook stated RPP has the same opinion in regard to an individual in RPP who has a five-year contract. He asked Mr. Durant to discuss with the Board his discussion with Ms. Bentley of RPP.

Mr. Durant stated RPP recognizes an individual may slip versus having a reoccurrence. He went on to say RPP would like the leeway to address such cases on a case-by-case basis. He gave an example of an individual who had been totally compliant for two and one-half years took Hydrocodone for a headache one night. He went on to say the individual called the appropriate number the next day for random drug testing, was called in for a drug test at which time the Hydrocodone was picked up. He asked the Board if they would require the clock to start over. He noted there would still be cases, such as a relapse, that would require the five-year contract to begin again.

MOTION

Mr. Bradham moved the chairman, vice chairman and staff should determine whether or not the individual in RPP should appear before the Board as long as that information is not kept just by RPP. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Mr. Hook stated there are 62 open cases with RPP. He noted of those 62 cases 33 individuals are working in the field and 29 individuals are not working in the field.

- Compounding Task Force – Bobby Bradham, R.Ph., Marvin Hyatt, R.Ph.

Mr. Bradham and Mr. Hyatt had no report.

Mr. Bradham noted that the Board received a report during the meeting on June 21, 2006 regarding the FDA and compounding pharmacies.

- Nuclear Pharmacy Committee – Dock H. Rose, R.Ph.

Mr. Rose stated the committee has not met nor has the committee received any responses to the recommended changes to the inspection report.

- Pharmacy Technician Committee – Davis Hook, R.Ph. and David Banks, R.Ph.

Mr. Hook stated the committee has met twice since the last meeting. He further stated as the Board is aware the changes in the statute regarding the 3:1 ratio takes effect July 1, 2006. He indicated there is a growing concern there are not enough state certified technicians to accommodate the needs which could result in a financial business issue as well as a public safety issue. He went on to say the committee has looked at other accrediting agencies during the meetings. He presented the Board with a list of accrediting agencies for other health care boards. He went on to say the committee feels the only accrediting agency qualified to accredit

programs is ASHP, however, the Board has had a request for the Board to get into the program approval process. He said he researched the matter and contacted university professors in an attempt to establish a committee of academic background, however, he was unable to locate individuals to serve on the committee. He went on to say he then asked Jim Bracewell, Executive Director of the SC Pharmacy Association, to give the committee guidance and possibly take on the task of accrediting programs. He said the Association's Foundation reluctantly agreed to the accreditation process but noted the fee would greatly exceed the ASHP fee. He stated one chain drug store has received provisional accreditation from ASHP.

Mr. Hook stated the Committee is making the following motion:

The Committee recommends that if the inspectors find a violation in the 3:1 ratio that only the violation be cited, with no fine on the first offense, until January 1, 2007. The situation must be corrected within six months with the corporate office giving the Board a plan of action.

Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion.

Discussion on the motion ensued.

Ms. Janet Teeters, of ASHP, stated the chain drug store that has submitted an application has received provisional accreditation within six months.

Mr. Bradham stated as far as establishing a task force to look at other options for accreditation, the South Carolina Pharmacy Association's Board feels it is a much more expensive and lengthy task to accredit programs than ASHP. He thinks they have eliminated that option. He also stated that ASHP would like to be out of the accrediting business and attempted to negotiate with NABP to sell their program or move their program under ACPE. He noted that NABP declined to become involved. He thinks the Board has sent a very clear message that because of the time and financial considerations the Board has always been adamant that ASHP is the one entity that already has the program in place to accredit programs. He continued by saying that anyone who has gotten a message other than that has been misguided.

Mr. Bryant stated the inspectors could note the violation on the inspection report and not levy a fine or disciplinary action. He indicated the inspector would not have to issue a citation on this issue at this time, however the pharmacy would need to issue a plan of action within thirty days.

Mr. Robert Spires stated he attended the June 2, 2006 committee meeting at which time this motion was made as a compromise after a motion that had died. He also stated that if the Board just gives the pharmacy a year the Board would be discussing this matter again next June. He went on to say if the Board put the burden on the pharmacy for at least six months is a good compromise.

Three members voted in favor of the motion and three members voted nay. Mr. Bradham broke the tie by voting nay. The motion was denied.

MOTION

Mr. Banks moved that from July 1, 2006 through June 30, 2007 the violation of the 3:1 ratio would not result in a fine and that the permit holder/corporate office would have the option to

submit a plan of action to correct the deficiency to the Board within thirty days. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion.

Discussion ensued.

MOTION

Mr. Banks called for the vote. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously.

The motion carried with a majority vote. Dr. Richardson voted nay.

- ❖ CVS-Recent Developments with regard to technician certification programs- Susan DelMonico, RPh, JD

Ms. DelMonico stated she attended the June 2, 2006 meeting. She noted CVS has known about the deadline for the 3:1 ratio. She further stated most chain drug stores feels that their company programs would be considered to be approved. She said up until November 2005 when there was one chain drug store to work ASHP, then all of a sudden it was just ASHP. She went on to say they have had conversations with ASHP and noted the only issue CVS has with ASHP is that the program must be implemented nationwide. She noted nine states have approved the CVS training program and CVS is confident they have a program that would meet the Board's requirements. She said that Mr. Bryant had indicated he would fund a task force to locate an accrediting source. She does not feel six months would be sufficient time to achieve accreditation.

Mr. Hook stated Midlands Technical College attended the meeting in reference to their accreditation. The committee is making the following recommendation:

That if the Midlands Technical College program meets the requirements of statute and ASHP that the Board recognize it as an accredited program.

The Board determined it did not have to vote on that recommendation.

Ms. Young reminded the Board and all in attendance that when the inspectors enter a pharmacy on July 1, 2006 citations would be issued for lapsed registrations, which has nothing to do with the 3:1 ratio.

Ms. Tapley questioned the Board if it would accept provisional accreditation from ASHP. Mr. Bradham stated the Board would accept accreditation whether it is provisional accreditation or full accreditation. Ms. Bundrick and Mr. Banks noted the committee unanimously recognized the provisional accreditation.

- ❖ Opinion Request on Technician Ratio- James H. Quackenbush, Jr. (not appearing)

No comments were made.

- Legislative Committee – Davis Hook, R.Ph., Bobby Bradham, R.Ph.

Mr. Hook presented the Board with a news release regarding the U.S. Supreme Court rejecting an effort to overturn the PBM law in Maine. He stated Maine passed legislation concerning PBM managers and how they did business, which was challenged in the U.S. Supreme Court.

He noted the Supreme Court upheld Maine's law. He stated it may give hope for the Board regarding PBMs.

Mr. Bradham stated the law states the PBMs must be transparent in all of their business activities.

Mr. Hook stated the pseudoephedrine legislation was signed by the Governor and became effective May 4, 2006.

Mr. Hook stated he believes the law enforcing maintenance logs also goes into effect September 1, 2006. Staff noted the SC Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) would be enforcing this law. Ms. Sanders stated DHEC is developing a program in regard to the sale of pseudoephedrine.

Mr. Bradham stated he understands the drug-monitoring bill has also passed, which requires DHEC to monitor the prescribing and dispensing of Schedule II-IV controlled substances.

The members were presented with a summary of legislative actions regarding pharmacy and other professions.

- Medication Errors Committee – Al Toole, R.Ph., Leo Richardson, PhD.

Mr. Toole had no report.

- Pharmacy Benefit Management (PBM) Committee – Marvin Hyatt, R.Ph.

Mr. Hyatt had no report.

- NABP/AACP District III Planning Committee – David Banks, R.Ph., Dock H. Rose, R.Ph.

Mr. Banks stated every thing is going well and there is a meeting scheduled for later today.

- Detention Center Committee – Bobby Bradham, R.Ph.

Mr. Bradham had no report.

- Federally Qualified Health Clinics – Bobby Bradham, R.Ph., Dock H. Rose, R.Ph.

Mr. Bradham gave this report during the June 21, 2006 meeting.

- VAWD Committee – Terry Lewis, R.Ph.

Ms. Sanders provided the members with a report on the VAWD Committee:

She participated in the first VAWD inspection with a NABP representative on June 15-16, 2006 at a wholesale distributor in Hilton Head. A comprehensive review of the facility, employee files, inventory verification, invoices, quarantine products, justifications for inventory adjustments, policies and procedures, security, reporting of drug losses/thefts/counterfeits and packaging/shipping processes was completed. Of note, the Florida Board of Pharmacy requires at least one individual who is involved in the operation of the facility to pass a test to be a Designated Representative. Per discussion with the Designated Representative, Florida,

Indiana, and California will require Pedigree papers to be provided by wholesalers that are shipping legend drugs or devices into their states. There is also a push to require the Pedigree papers by all states by December 1, 2006 to assist in follow-up on counterfeit medications. Pedigree papers are not required if a medication is received directly from the manufacturer. Currently Amerisource/Bergen, McKesson and Cardinal do not provide Pedigree papers, which is causing problems for the middle distributor.

- Methadone Committee – Dock H. Rose, R.Ph., Leo Richardson, PhD.

Mr. Rose had no report.

Recess

At 10:30 a.m. the Board took a short recess and returned to public session at 10:56 a.m.

Return to Public Session

- Inspection Report Review Committee – David Banks, R.Ph.

Mr. Banks stated the committee met and reviewed several inspection forms. He noted the committee is making the following recommendation

MOTION

Mr. Banks made a motion on behalf of the committee that the Board accept the revised Medical Gases/Legend Devices Drug Outlet Inspection Form. Mr. Hyatt seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

Mr. Banks made the following report from the committee.

The committee recommends:

Discontinue the Home Healthcare Inspection form. The new sterile compounding plus the retail pharmacy inspection reports will be more appropriate since really these facilities are home infusion, not home healthcare.

The recommendation needed no second since it came from committee. The recommendation carried unanimously.

The committee recommends:

The Non-Dispensing Drug Outlet Inspection report needs to have Consultant Pharmacist/Designee put on instead of just Consultant R.Ph. since the EMS facilities have a Medical Director as the consultant. Also needs under the section, Policy and Procedure 137 and under description have, Meets intent and guidelines USP Chapter 797, to cover the infusion suites. At some point it also needs to include samples and PAP meds, but the committee felt when a decision came from the Federally Qualified Health Care Clinic Committee concerning these topics, we would add it at that time. Since the recommendation came from committee, no second was needed. The recommendation carried unanimously.

The committee would like to include on the inspection report the inspection of samples. Waiting to see what came out of the federally qualified health committee.

The committee is recommending:

The Board create a new permit for Non-Resident Medical Gas/Legend Device facilities. Staff has several facilities calling which are dispensing oxygen and legend devices to patients in

South Carolina. There is no such permit for these facilities at this time. An in-state NDDO permit is an initial \$200 with a \$100 renewal fee. The Committee feels the initial fee for a non-resident facility should be \$300, with a \$200 renewal fee.

Mr. Bradham referred this matter to the legislative committee.

- Optional Date for Hearings

The Board will hold hearings on August 25, 2006.

- Representative for the MALTA-GON Conference

Mr. Bradham stated he has contacted Mr. Lewis who indicated he would be able to attend the meeting and members have indicated would serve as backup. He went on to say last year staff members from other State Boards of Pharmacy also attended the meeting.

The members voted unanimously to send Mr. Lewis and two (2) staff members to the MALA-GON Conference.

10. Review of Policies & Procedures

Policy 030 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 031 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 032 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 034 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 040 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 041 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 042 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 045 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 046 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 049 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 051 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 055 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 058 – The Board voted to revise this policy by changing unless otherwise approved by the Board Chair in consultation with the Board Administrator.

Policy 059 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 060 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 061 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 063 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 065 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 066 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 072 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 073 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 078 voted to delete #3 and renumber accordingly.

Policy 081 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 084 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 085 – The Board voted to change to the South Carolina College of Pharmacy.

Policy 087 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 090 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 093 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 094 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 098 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 101 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 110 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

Policy 115 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 116 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 117 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 118 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 119 – The Board voted to change the language to Administrator or his/her designee.
Policy 122 – The Board voted to change the language to Investigative Review chairman and the Hearing Officer.
Policy 123 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 124 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 125 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 127 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 128 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 129 – The Board voted to delete this policy.
Policy 130 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 131 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 132 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 133 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 134 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 135 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 136 – The Board voted to change the language to include payment must be in the form of cashier's check, money order or valid credit/debit card.
Policy 137 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 138 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 139 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 140 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 141 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.
Policy 142 – The Board voted to keep this policy intact.

MOTION

Mr. Banks made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hyatt and unanimously carried, that the Board meet in conjunction with the association Myrtle Beach in 2007.

Ms. Bundrick stated she believes the Practice Act should be reviewed and suggested a committee be formed to rewrite the practice act. Mr. Bradham made a motion that Mr. Hyatt form such a committee. Ms. Young suggested the committees that have completed the task be deleted from the agenda.

Mr. Bracewell made remarks about meeting in conjunction in with the association and thanked the Board for the opportunity to work with them during his employment with the SC Pharmacy Association.

Adjournment

MOTION

The June 21-22, 2006 meeting adjourned at 12:35 p.m.