1 APPROVED MINUTES 2 **South Carolina Board of Cosmetology** 3 10:00 A.M., September 11, 2012 4 **Synergy Business Park** 5 **Kingstree Building, Conference Room 108** 6 110 Centerview Drive, Columbia, SC 29210 7 8 Video of this meeting can be viewed at the state's public website: www.llr.state.sc.us/POL/Cosmetology, 9 On the Board's home page click "Board Information" and follow the link to the video. 10 These minutes are a record of the motions/ official actions taken by the Board, and a brief summary of the 11 meeting. A transcript of this meeting providing more detail will also be available on the Board's website 12 **Meeting Called to Order** 13 14 Public notice of this meeting was properly posted at the S. C. Board of Cosmetology office, Synergy Business 15 Park, Kingstree Building, and provided to all requesting persons, organizations, and news media in compliance with Section 30-4-80 of the South Carolina Freedom of Information Act. 16 17 18 Pledge of Allegiance 19 20 Rules of the Meeting Read by the Chairperson 21 22 **Introduction of Board Members and All Other Persons Attending** Chairperson Melanie C. Thompson called the regular meeting of the Board of Cosmetology to order. Other 23 24 Board members present for the meeting included, Cynthia T. Rodgers, Selena M. Brown, and Janice Curtis. **Staff Members Participating in the Meeting** 25 Sara McCartha, Advice Counsel, Doris Cubitt, Interim Administrator, Tracey McCarley, Administrator. 26 27 Bridget Jenkins, Matteah Taylor, Roz Bailey-Glover, Administrative Staff, Cecelia P. Englert, Court 28 Reporter. Holly Beeson, General Counsel, Andrew R. Rogers, Assistant General Counsel, DeLeon Andrews, 29 OIE, Robbie Boland, Inspections Department. **All Other Persons Attending:** 30 Courtney Freeman, Chesley Philips, Colleen Large, Bruce Kelly, Lee Nguyen, Stephanie Mickens, Josh 31 Poole, Nancy Poole, Gloria Smith, Steven Dawson, Freda Eaton, Cindy Le, Phil Phan, Pat Oberhausen, 32 33 Cathy Shaw, Crystal McGee, Lesa Gordon, Amanda Painter, Sandra Jameson, Magie Stevenson, Janie Ginn, Lauren J. Pace, Tammy Tobin, Brenda Harper, Rebecca Teal, Scott Simpkins, Sherri Yarborough, Wayne 34 Chapman, Zoraida Harley, Tiffani Greenhill, Gloria Greenhill, Ben Hardee, Wendy McClair, Jan Williamson, 35 36 Sherry Rivers, John Michalik, Nick Hu Duke, Steve Glenn, Chet Horton, Ken Lake, Lee Geen, Peggy 37 Crunch, James Couch, Cassina Allen, Chris Dunkins, Ericka Hursey, Jeremiah Samuels, James Broderick, Vivian Hall, Pauline Mixon, Buddy Livingston. 38 39 **Approval of Excused Absences:** 40 Stephanie Nye and Kathy Webb 41 42 **MOTION:** 43 Ms. Rodgers made a motion to approve the excused absences. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried 44 unanimously. 45

#### 48 **Approval of Agenda**

#### 49 **MOTION:**

50 Ms. Rodgers made a motion to approve the agenda with any deviations as deemed necessary. Ms. Curtis 51 seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

52 53

# Chairman's Remarks – Melanie Thompson

Ms. Thompson turned the meeting over to Ms. Cubitt for administrator remarks.

54 55 56

58

59

#### Administrator Remarks - Doris Cubitt

57 Ms. Cubitt stated she received a few calls from school regarding the translation service International Service

Center, the translations service used by the board to translate education credentials. Apparently the

translation service has been emailing translations to candidates. Emailed translations could be changed, and

60 there are no grade levels provided. Ms. Cubitt wanted to know if the board wanted to continue using this

service for translations. A brief discussion ensued. Ms. Thompson wanted to know if the Lexington County 61

Court System could possibly recommend a translation service to the board. Sara McCartha and Tracey 62

McCarley will look into a translation services and get back to the board with a recommendation. Staff will 63

continue to use the other two recommended translation services.

64 65 66

#### **MOTION:**

67 Ms. Brown made a motion to stop accepting translated documents from the International Service Center. Ms.

68 Curtis seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

69

79

80

84

85

88

89

90 91

70 Ms. Cubitt also stated that the twenty-four month rule topic on the agenda is scheduled for discussion later 71

today. However, the testing service, PCS, has drafted a letter for the board's review clarifying the regulation

72 change to section 35 (10) E (7). Ms. Cubitt asked Ms. McCartha for an interpretation of the regulation.

73 Apparently there are questions as to the date the regulations would apply. For example, if you completed

your cosmetology training in 2007 can you take your examination in 2011 since you completed your 74

75 education prior to the effective date of the regulation change which took effect on June 25, 2010. There's no

grandfathering clause in the regulation to clarify the date, so staff needs the board's interpretation because 76

77 some individuals have already taken the examination, and staff needs to know what to do about them. Ms.

78 Thompson explained the regulation change to the Board. In the old regulation there was no limit. A brief

discussion ensued. Applicants can present their case to the Board. The sample letter from PCS was given to

board members for review. Ms. Thompson stated that the way the regulation is currently written, after

81 twenty-four months, the training hours are invalid. All the board can do is include proposed changes to the

regulation 35 (10) E (7) when they submit for any changes during the upcoming legislative season. Ms. 82

83 Brown stated that there are extenuating circumstances for some people, and the regulation as written appears

to be a bit harsh on the applicant. Ms. Thompson stated that any applicant has the option to come before the

board and present their extenuating circumstances. A discussion ensued. The Board reviewed the letter and

86 wanted to know how many people they intend to send the letter to and how many people the letter will affect. 87

Ms. Cubitt asked about what to do with the students who already took the examination, because staff is currently holding five such applications. These people completed their classes in 2008, and they passed the examinations. Ms. Thompson stated that applicants can present their case to the Board. Also, the Board wants Ms. Cubitt to find out how many people are affected by the regulation change. Ms. Thompson suggested the board revisit this item as it appears on the agenda later during the day.

92 93 94

97

Ms. Cubitt asked for advice on a scenario. If a student comes to South Carolina from another state with 1,200

95 hours of cosmetology education and they are short the 300 hours. Are there any online courses the student

can take to complete the missing hours? Ms. Thompson responded, no. Ms. Cubitt stated that some states do 96

allow students with 1,200 hours in cosmetology and 300 hours in a nail tech program to add those hours

98 together to obtain a 1,500 cosmetology license. Would South Carolina allow the same? Ms. Thompson

stated that although the Board has allowed some cross-over hours for a person to obtain a cosmetology 99

license, the Board would rely more on the cosmetologist work experience. Each application would be 100

- 101 considered on a case by case basis. Ms. Thompson stated the Board minutes from four to five years ago
- regarding how many hours the Board would allow applicants credit from one license to another. The
- curriculums have changed over the years. So, the Board can revisit the issue and vote on the number of hours
- allowed at a later date. Ms. Cubitt stated that she will review the minute regarding the hours previously
- discussed on record.

# 106 Old Business

- On September 11, 2012, Ms. Stephanie C. Mickens appeared before the Board for the approval of her license
- with a background report. Ms. Mickens brought in a letter from her attorney for the Board to review regarding
- her case. The letter was entered into the record as exhibit #1. The letter stated that the charges should be
- dropped and ex-sponged from Ms. Mickens record. The letter was accepted by the Board.

111

# 112 MOTION:

- 113 Ms. Brown made a motion to approve the license. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion, which carried
- unanimously.

115116

# 117 New Business

118

# 119 Approval of School Location Change - Kenneth Shuler's School of Cosmetology, Inc (North Augusta) –

- 120 Steven Dawson
- Mr. Dawson submitted a letter to the Board requesting to change the location of the school currently located
- at 736 East Martintown Road, North Augusta, SC to 113 Knox Avenue, North Augusta, SC which is a larger
- facility. The new floor plans were submitted to the Board for review.

124

#### 125 **MOTION**:

- Ms. Rodgers made a motion to approve the school change of location from current North Augusta location to
- the current North August location pending the revised student handbooks. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion,
- which carried unanimously.

129

130

#### Approval for Schools to Teach 450 Hour Nail Technology Program - Kenneth Shuler's School of

131 Cosmetology, Inc. in Rock Hill – Steven Dawson

132

- 133 Mr. Dawson appeared before the Board requesting to be allowed to teach a 450 hour nail technology program
- at the Rock Hill, Spartanburg, Greenville and Garners Ferry Road location in Columbia, SC. In addition, Mr.
- Dawson would like to reduce the current 600 hour nail tech programs to a 450 hour program at the North
- 136 Augusta, Florence and St Andrews Road, Columbia locations.
- Mr. Dawson explained the flat tuition rate covers 450 hours for the nail technology program. He explained
- the contract hours and overtime charges. The Board can review the student handbook online. Staff made a
- copy of the Kenneth Shuler handbook to include with today's application for the change. Mr. Dawson also
- explained the percentage scale which is required by the accrediting agency. The scale is set up by the
- accrediting agency for financial aid purposes. Students receive a transcript for the courses that they have
- 142 completed and have been paid. The R2-Title IV process allows money to be collected from the government
- for tuition upfront, and stipulates that if a student does not complete the program of study, the money not used
- by the school must be returned to the government. A discussion ensued regarding federal funding. Mr.
- Dawson further explained that if a student attended only 20% of the time, the school is only allowed to retain
- 20% of the tuition. If, however, the student completed 60% of the program, the school can retain all of the
- tuition. Mr. Dawson clarified that not all schools receive institutional funding. Mr. Dawson stated that all
- student tuition matters are handled in the same manner, and that the maximum number of hours a student can
- complete at the school is 2,250 hours.

- Mr. Dawson stated that there is no application on LLR's website to accommodate a school change. Ms.
- 152 Thompson agreed that the website is in need of multiple corrections. Mr. Dawson volunteered to create an

- appropriate application for the Board for school changes, and will submit the applications to the Board for 153
- approval and welcomed the help with applications. Ms. Thompson stated that anytime there is a change to 154
- 155 the school contract, the handbook, the school policies, or anything that governs the students, from what was
- previously approved by the Board, all changes must be resubmitted to the Board for approval. In the 156
- 157 meantime, the Board can review the program changes already submitted pending Mr. Dawson submits
- 158 handbook policy changes for program hours. Mr. Dawson stated that the school will only offer the nail tech
- programs of 450 hour during the evening only because the enrollment for the nail tech programs was very 159
- 160 low.
- 161

#### 162 **MOTION:**

- Ms. Brown made a motion to approve the 450 nail technology evening programs at Rock Hill, Spartanburg, 163
- Greenville and Garners Ferry Road location in Columbia, SC pending the submission of student handbooks, 164
- and changed policies and procedures governing the students. Ms. Rodgers seconded the motion, which carried 165
- 166 unanimously.
- 167
- 168 **MOTION:**
- 169 Ms. Curtis made a motion to approve the change in the Nail Technology Program from 600 hours back to the
- 170 original 450 hours for the Kenneth Shuler's School of Cosmetology, Inc. on St. Andrews Road in Columbia,
- Florence, and the North Augusta, locations. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried unanimously. 171
- 172
- 173 **Approval to Teach Student Instructor Course**
- Lacy Cosmetology School, LLC in Lexington and the Lacy Cosmetology School, LLC in Charleston 174
- Ms. Thompson stated the letter mailed to the Lacy School asked for an appearance on September 11<sup>th</sup> and not 175
- 176 the 12<sup>th</sup>. There was no representation at the Board meeting so the determination was deferred until later in the
- 177 day.
- 178
- 179 Approval to Open New Esthetics School - Southeastern Esthetics Institute - Courtney G. Freeman
- Ms. Freeman appeared before the Board and submitted her student contract changes, pending the final school 180
- inspection. The school was not complete enough for the final inspection. Ms. Thompson let Ms. Freeman 181
- 182 know that the application agreement must mirror the refund policy. There were questions about the fee
- 183 schedule. Ms. Freeman stated that she will make the changes and provide that information to the board
- 184 member, and inspector at the final inspection. Ms. Freeman stated that she is trying to schedule classes for
- 185 September 17, 2012.
- 186 **MOTION:**
- 187 Ms. Brown made a motion to approve corrections to the contract and a final inspection. Ms. Curtis
- seconded the motion, which carried unanimously. 188
- 189
- 190 Ms. Thompson announced to the audience that if any school wanted to help the Board with creating forms to
- please contact the Board Administrator. 191
- 192
- 193 Approval to change location of CE Classes for Nails Skin & Hair of America, LLC - Chesley Paige 194 **Phillips**
- 195 Ms. Phillips submitted an email to the Board on July 11, 2012 requesting to change the location of her
- December 3, 2012 nail technician class, and the December 4, 2012 esthetics class to the Hilton Garden Inn at 196
- 197 650 Tinsley Way, Rock Hill, SC. Ms. Phillips also submitted a request on August 8, 2012 to change locations
- from the Hyatt to the Residence Inn on 5035 International Blvd., Charleston, SC 29418 for a nail technician 198
- 199 class previously scheduled for October 7, 2012. The reason for the location change is that the Hyatt was
- 200 unable to accommodate the class scheduled.
- 201

#### 203 **MOTION**:

- Ms. Brown made a motion to approve the class changes for December. Ms. Rodgers seconded the motion,
- which carried unanimously.

206

#### 207 MOTION:

- Ms. Curtis made a motion to approve the class location change from the Hyatt to the Residence Inn. Ms.
- 209 Rodgers seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

210

- 211 Ms. Phillips informed the Board that the July 23 & 24, 2012, classes originally scheduled for Rock Hill were
- conducted as previously scheduled. Ms. Phillips initially wanted to change the location of the classes, but
- 213 was unable to make the change without incurring the full charge from the hotel, so the classes were conducted
- as scheduled and were not changed. The Board agreed to accept the continuing education credits from the nail
- 215 technician classes conducted on July 23<sup>rd</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup>. Ms. Thompson reminded the continuing education
- providers and the schools to send all class changes to the Board Administrator by email or regular mail and
- 217 not to fax in changes.

218

#### The Board took a 10 minute break.

220 221

219

# Approval to Add CE Class - Vontae's - Michelle Hampton-Furtick

- Ms. Furtick called to state she could not appear before the Board today. Ms. Furtik submitted an email on
- July 10, 2012 requesting to add a class on September 16, 2012. The email stated that everything in the
- submission packet will remain the same the only change is a class on that day. The Board reviewed the email
- and was unable to determine which class Ms. Furtick was referring to. Ms. Furtick did not send a
- representative to appear before the Board so the Board could not ask the appropriate questions regarding the
- type of class being given on September 16, 2012.

# 228 MOTION:

- Ms. Rodgers made a motion to deny the request. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried
- unanimously.

231

# 232 Request for a third Duplicate License - Linh Dinh Tran

- 233 Linh Dinh Tran was not present to answer questions the Board had regarding the request for a third copy of
- his license.

# 235 MOTION:

- Ms. Rodgers made a motion to deny the request for a third license. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion, which
- carried unanimously.

238

# 239 Lunch Break - 12:00 p.m. to 12:30 p.m.

- Ms. Thompson recognized Ms. Laura Pace from LLR's Finance Department. Ms. Pace appeared before the
- Board to answer questions the Board had regarding the Cosmetology Boards expense reports received. Ms.
- Pace explained that finance is completing a spread sheet for all of the boards. Ms. Thompson stated that the
- 243 Cosmetology Board was one of four boards that were in the red. Ms. Thompson wanted an explanation to the
- Board as to why the expenses show a million dollar deficit from one year to the next. Ms. Thompson stated
- 245 that the Board wants the money back. Ms. Thompson wanted and itemized list of who the Board was paying,
- 246 like staff members and items for other Boards. Ms. Thompson questioned the expense for office supplies in
- 247 the amount of \$1,360.00, postage of \$1,800.00, and the cash correction shown on the spread sheet of
- \$236,176 and what that amount consisted of. Ms. Cubitt explained that renewals and late renewals. Ms. Pace
- stated that she can provide information to the Board covering the last there years, and show what the Boards
- spent their money on. Ms. Pace stated that each Board should be given a budget, but that's not what occurred
- in past years. Ms. Pace will work on the spreadsheet for the Board.

- 252 Approval of Licensure through Endorsement Cindy Le
- Ms. Le appeared before the Board to answer questions the regarding her license by endorsement in 2006 from
- North Carolina. Ms. Le attended cosmetology school in Vietnam in the year 2000 with 1,500 hours of
- cosmetology education. Ms. Le passed the NIC written and practical examinations in June 2012 and is
- seeking a cosmetology license in South Carolina. Ms. Le stated that she took her cosmetology examinations
- in Vietnam in December, 2000 and was licensed. She then worked for her aunt for approximately six months
- or until 2001 when her aunt passed away on May 2001. Ms. Le stated that she moved to the USA on
- November 2004 where she learned to speak English. She attended nail school where the teachers spoke
- Vietnamese.

261

#### 262 MOTION:

- Ms. Rodgers made a motion to go into executive session for legal advice. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion,
- which carried unanimously.

265

The Board returned from executive session where no votes were taken or motions made.

# 267 **MOTION**:

- Ms. Curtis made a motion to defer the application for endorsement as the application is incomplete. The
- Board requested Ms. Le supply a proper translation of her high school diploma as the diploma does not
- indicate she completed a 10<sup>th</sup> grade education or greater. The translated school card shows school attendance
- from 1991-1992. She will come back to the Board once the high school information is verified. Ms. Rodgers
- seconded the motion, which carried unanimously.

273274

# **Approval to Take Examination** - Freda L Eaton

- Ms. Eaton appeared before the Board for approval to take the NIC examinations. Ms. Eaton did not take the
- examinations within the twenty-four month period due to family circumstances. Ms. Eaton stated she
- submitted the examination application to PCS in September 2010. At the same time her son became very ill
- and she had to care for him. Ms. Eaton submitted a letter to the Board explaining her family circumstances
- which the Board members reviewed. Ms. Thompson let Ms. Eaton know that the Board is following
- 280 regulation 35-10 (E) 7 when it comes to determining the twenty-four month rule. Ms. Thompson stated that
- there is no authority for the Board to go against the regulation.

# 282 MOTION:

- 283 Ms. Curtis made a motion to deny the request to take the examination. Ms. Rodgers seconded the motion,
- which carried unanimously.

285

# 286 **Approval of License with Education Concerns -** Loc Huu Nguyen

- Mr. Nguyen previously appeared before the Board on March 13, 2012 and was denied. During the previous
- Board meeting, Mr. Nguyen stated that he went to school thirty-six hours per week, and had accumulated over
- 289 525 class hours. Ms. Thompson stated that there was also a question about a discrepancy with his signatures
- throughout the application, along with the questionable school transcript. Ms. Nguyen stated that in his
- country the name was written in a different order and he gets confused sometimes when he signs his name.

# 292 MOTION:

- Ms. Rodgers made a motion to go into executive session for legal advice. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion,
- which carried unanimously.

295

The Board returned from executive session where no votes were taken or motions made.

#### 297 **MOTION**:

- Ms. Curtis made a motion to return to public session. Ms. Rodgers seconded the motion, which carried
- 299 unanimously.

#### **MOTION:** 301 302 Ms. Rodgers made a motion to deny the additional evidence submitted to support the transcript. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion, which carried unanimously. 303 304 305 Ms. Thompson let Mr. Nguyen know that an official Order will be mailed to him to explain the Board's 306 decision. 307 308 Approval of Licenses with Background Report - Cynthia Dawn McAnulty 309 Cynthia Dawn McAnulty was called to the Board to answer questions the Board had regarding her 310 reinstatement application with a background report. Ms. McAnulty was not present at the meeting. 311 **MOTION:** 312 Ms. Rodgers made a motion to deny the reinstatement application. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried unanimously. 313 314 315 Approval of Licenses with Background Report - Tiffani Ellen Greenhill Ms. Greenhill appeared before the Board to answer questions the Board had regarding her application by 316 examination and her background check indicating a 2004 felony and misdemeanors for having an unlicensed 317 fire arm. Ms. Greenhill stated that the gun was given to her as a present, but later discovered the gun was 318 stolen. The charges against her were dropped to a lesser charge and she received probation only. The 319 320 trafficking charge was ex-sponged from her record, evidenced by a letter she submitted to the Board as evidence. 321 **MOTION:** 322 323 Ms. Rodgers made a motion to approve the license. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried 324 unanimously. 325 Approval of Licenses with Background Report - Vernaya S. Thompson 326 327 Ms. Thompson was called to the Board to answer questions the Board had regarding her application by 328 examination with a background report. Ms. Thompson was not present at the meeting. **MOTION:** 329 Ms. Rodgers made a motion to deny the application. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried 330 unanimously. 331 332 333 **Approval of Licenses with Background Report** – Tammy Tobin 334 Ms. Tobin was called before the board to answer questions regarding her application by examination with a 335 background report. Ms. Tobin stated that the charge regarding breach of trust occurred in 1994. Ms. Tobin testified that she had a drug problem, and had taken the money to support her drug habit. Ms. Tobin stated 336 337 she realized her mistakes and has been clean of drugs since 1994. She currently works as a secretary for a 338 marketing company. 339 **MOTION:** 340 Ms. Rodgers made a motion to approve the license. Ms. Brown seconded the motion, which carried 341 342 unanimously. 343 344 Ms. Thompson pointed out that Mr. Dawson graciously volunteered to create forms, and documents for the board, however if any of the other schools wants to make suggestions, and volunteer their services in any 345 346 way, just contact the Cosmetology Board Administrator. 347

348 349 350

# **Proposed changes for Regulation Changes to 4218**

351 352

353

354 355

356 357

358

359

363 364

365

366 367

370

- Ms. Thompson stated that the board members were asked to review regulatory changes #4218. It was suggested that instead of submitting #4218 as one lump change, the board could break-up the changes into several categories, namely safety and sanitation, and compliance with the federal guidelines. Ms. Thompson asked each member for their input. Ms. McCartha stated that Ms. Holly Beeson, General Counsel had joined the discussion for the regulation changes. Members stated the following areas of importance, the fingerprint scans, and the twenty-four month examination change. Ms. Thompson stated that the Board would breakdown the regulations into categories such as safety and sanitation issues and compliance with federal guidelines, such as regulations for the schools. A discussion followed.
- 360 Ms. Thompson summarized the issues and asked legal to assist with the following regulation changes:
- Clarify regulation 35 (1) (G) Final Inspections / License Issued. There seems to be some confusion in the language with this one.
  - Review regulation 35 (3) Minimum Curriculum for a School of Cosmetology, Nail Technology, or Esthetics The 10 hour requirement for threading, and the 50 hours for hair removal. The board will refer to Janice Nye for assistance with this one.
  - The board would need to address out of country transcripts for people not licensed in this country, and how to evaluate their hours of training.
- Section 35(10) B (4) (a) Withdrawals Change to 30 calendar days, and add the language "for hours paid for", before the student will get a transcript. Ms. Thompson wants legal to simplify the language.
  - Section 35(10) D (2) Advanced Training Add nails and esthetics to this section.
- Section 35(15) A (3) Application for Licensure Clarify that a salon owners must designate a salon manager who is the licensed responsible party.
- Section 35(24) Continuing Education Programs Add a regulation for people offering a course through an association. Clarify the board's expectations of the providers, and the timeframe that class attendance information must be submitted to the University of South Carolina (USC).
- The English language issue was still outstanding and must be addressed.
- Determine what to do about the photo requirements for the issued license. Ms. McCartha stated she would look into it.
- 379 Ms. Thompson asked the board to provide her with their feedback on the changes by September 17, 2012.
- 380 Ms. McCartha stated that she would work on potential text for the Boards consideration regarding the twenty-
- four month requirement, and the examination.

#### 382 Vocational Schools and the Academic Hours - Kenneth Lake, BT Martin, Lee Green

- 383 Mr. Kenneth Lake from Lexington Technical School appeared before the Board on behalf of Mr. BT Martin,
- 384 State Director of Career and Technology Education and Mr. Lee Green. Mr. Lake stated that the vocational
- high schools are concerned because the regulation changes removed the public school option to transfer
- public school hours to a private school. Ms. Thompson stated that the Board could not explain why the
- option was removed from the regulations that changed on June 25, 2010, however the Board is doing what it
- has to do to resolve the problem. Mr. Lake provided the Board with a copy of a memo from Elizabeth W.
- Adams, to the Principles and Directors with Cosmetology Programs, dated September 12, 2000 regarding the
- 390 September 11, 2000 Cosmetology Board Meeting. Ms. Pat Adams was the Board Chairperson at that time.
- The memo stated that "the SC Board of Cosmetology voted on 9/12/2000 to allow public schools to continue
- reporting 1,000 hours of cosmetology instruction and 500 hours of academic instruction". The board
- members reviewed the memo and thanked Mr. Lake for providing the information, so that the issue can be
- 394 further researched.
- Ms. Thompson stated she received a call on Friday, regarding a new policy involving LLR employee hours
- worked, and what constitutes an open meeting, and a non-open meeting. This directly affects the review of
- provider packets for review. Due to the new policy, the Saturday, and Sunday board meetings for the review
- 398 of provider packets can no longer occur. The providers will continue to submit their documents by October
- 399 15, 2012, and if any providers had their packets today, the Board would accept them for review. LLR will

- scan and load the packets onto a secured website for the board members to review individually. The Board
- will meet on October 22 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2012. The October 23, 2012 meeting will be with the providers. The Board
- 402 will complete the changes for the November meeting, and final review. Letters will be mailed to the providers
- 403 to explain the date changes.
- 404 Ms. Cubitt handed the board members a copy of the financial documents received from Ms. Pace.

#### **Board Member Reports**

- 406 Ms. Thompson asked the board members to present their reports. Ms. Curtis stated that she attended the
- 407 National Interstate Council of State Boards of Cosmetology (NIC) 2012 Annual Conference from August 24-
- 408 27, 2012 in Salt Lake City, Utah. Ms. Curtis stated that there were approximately 26 states in attendance.
- She attended many presentations that were very informative. Ms. Curtis read a presentation of the following
- sessions she attended: Communication is Key with Geno Stampora, who spoke about how to persuade or
- 411 influence others to follow our decision using fundamental principles of communication. "Empowering the
- Professional, Setting Standards, and Unifying the Professionals", with speaker Leslie Roste, RN. The
- presentation was sponsored by Barbicide/King Research. Ms. Roste spoke about setting standards in
- education, licensure, and board development along with standards in rules, and licensure. "Deregulation-
- Where is it coming from, and What do we Do?", with Candace Daly, a Lobbyist with CID Association, who
- identified the source of deregulation being the Institute for Justice, which can be found at www.ij.org and
- encouraged all to visit the website. The Documentary on the Life and Times of Leo Passage, a former
- educator and founder of Pivot Point. The was also a segment on Inspections, Investigations and Good
- Litigation Tactics, Government Relations, Legislative Challenges Facing our Industry, Tattooing, Body
- 420 Piercing and Other forms of Body Art, Regulating Schools and Social Media and Communications. In all it
- was a very informative week. On September 2, 2012 Ms. Curtis also monitored a class with Hair Matters in
- Orangeburg, SC. There were three people in class, and the session was excellent. There were no other
- 423 reports.

430

434

439

405

# 424425 **Public Comments**

- 426 Ms. Pat Oberhausen expressed her appreciation for the Boards strong stand in demanding where the Board's
- money has been allocated. Ms. Oberhausen stated she will attend future meeting. Ms. Oberhausen also
- 428 expressed her thanks for Mr. Lake and his coverage of the public school issues. Ms. Oberhausen also offered
- her assistance to the board, if needed.
- Ms. Dawson asked the Board if the schools would be privy to the draft of the emergency regulations. Ms.
- Thompson stated she would see if the Board can pursue the emergency regulations, and yes they will be able
- 433 to review the draft.
- 435 Ms. Colleen Large stated that she agreed that the Board should find out what happened to the boards money.
- She also asked about the provide meeting on October 22 and 23<sup>rd</sup>. Ms. Thompson stated that the Board plans
- 437 to conclude their review on the 22<sup>nd</sup>. Ms. Thompson also explained that the Board would continue to meet
- every other month, or as often as the Board needs dictate a meeting.
  - Adjournment
- **440 MOTION**:
- Ms. Brown made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Ms. Curtis seconded the motion, which carried
- 442 unanimously.

The next meeting of the SC Board of Cosmetology is scheduled for November 5, 2012

445